### **Clay Middle School**

Ohio Beducation

44 Clay High St, Portsmouth, OH 45662-8817 - Grades 6-8 - Scioto County



The School Report Card for the 2011-2012 school year shows the progress schools have made based on four measures of performance.





Indicators

Performance





Adequate Value-Added Yearly Progress

The combination of the four measures is the basis for assigning state designations to districts, buildings and community schools.

The six designations are

- Excellent with Distinction
- Excellent
- Effective
- Continuous Improvement
- Academic Watch
- Academic Emergency



To meet a test indicator for grades 3-8 and 10, at least 75% of students tested must score proficient or higher

on that test. Other indicator requirements are: 11th grade Ohio Graduation Tests, 85%; Attendance Rate, 93%; Graduation Rate, 90%.

On the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov

## 2011-2012 School Year Report Card

Current Principal: Larry A. Piguet (740) 354-6644 Current Superintendent: Anthony E. Mantell (740) 354-6645









State Indicators	Percentage of Students Your School 2011-2012	s at and above the P Your District 2011-2012	roficient Level State 2011-2012
3rd Grade Achievement	The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
1. Reading		75.6%	79.0%
2. Mathematics		80.0%	79.8%
4th Grade Achievement	The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
3. Reading		85.7%	83.3%

3.	Rea	ding
4.	Mat	hematics
5th G	rade	Achievement
_	_	

5.	Reading
6.	Mathematics
7	Science

#### 6th Grade Achievement

8. Reading 9. Mathematics

#### 7th Grade Achievement

10. Reading

11. Mathematics

#### 8th Grade Achievement

12. Reading

13. Mathematics

14. Science

#### Ohio Graduation Tests (10th Grade)

15. Reading

16. Mathematics

17. Writing

18. Science

19. Social Studies

#### Ohio Graduation Tests (11th Grade)\*

20. Reading

21. Mathematics

22. Writing

23. Science

24. Social Studies

#### Attendance Rate

25. All Grades

#### 2010-11 Four-Year Graduation Rate

26. School

#### uirement is 75 percent 75.6% 79.0%

85.7% 83.3% 96.4% 78.4%

The state requirement is 75 percent

82.3% 76.8% 62.9% 67.5% 75.8% 72.5%

**78.3%** 🗸 78.3% 86.7% **78.3%** ✓ 78.3% 79.9%

The state requirement is 75 percent

The state requirement is 75 percent

80.6% 80.6% 79.5% 83.3% 🗸 83.3% 73.6% The state requirement is 75 percent

**76.7%** ✓ 76.7% 83.0% 67.4% 67.4% 79.6% 41.9% 41.9% 71.5%

The state requirement is 75 percent

 87.2%	86.0%
 85.1%	82.6%
 87.2%	87.1%
 83.0%	76.7%
 85.1%	81.6%

#### The state requirement is 85 percent

 92.7%	92.6%
 92.7%	89.9%
 90.2%	93.0%
 95.1%	85.6%
 92.7%	87.8%

The state requirement is 93 percent

94.0% 93.8% 94.5% The state requirement is 90 percent


79.7% 76.2%


Any result at or above the state standard is indicated by a .


= Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group \*Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders


## Your School's Assessment Results Over Time


All students in the school for a full academic year are included in the results.














Indicators
are based on state
assessments, as well
as on attendance and
graduation rates.
To earn an indicator
for Achievement or
Graduation Tests, at
least 75% of students
must reach proficient
or above for the given
assessment. For
the 11th grade Ohio
Graduation Tests
indicators, a cumulative


85% passage rate for each


assessment is required.

The State

State

Indicators





<sup>\*</sup> Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders.

## **Performance Index**

### **Performance Index Calculations** for the 2011-2012 School Year

Performance Leve 3-8 and 10 for All (Includes every str the school for a fu	Tested Subjects udent enrolled in	Percentage	x	Weight	=	Points
	Untested	0.0	Х	0.0	=	0.0
	Limited	4.5	Х	0.3	=	1.3
	Basic	24.7	Χ	0.6	=	14.8
	Proficient	40.9	Х	1.0	=	40.9
	Accelerated	20.2	Χ	1.1	=	22.3
	Advanced	9.7	Х	1.2	=	11.7

**Your School's Performance Index** 91.0 Index

The Performance Index reflects the achievement of every student enrolled for the full academic year. The Performance Index is a weighted average that includes all tested subjects and grades and untested students. The greatest weight is given to advanced scores (1.2); the weights decrease for each performance level and a weight of zero is given to untested students. This results in a scale from 0 to 120 points. The Performance Index can be compared across years to show

#### **Performance Index Over Time**

school achievement trends.

2011-2012	2010-2011	2009-2010
91.0	97.6	93.3

## Value-Added Measure



**Overall Composite** 

Reading

**Mathematics** 



Scores reflect grade level and overall composite ratings for the 2011-2012 school year.

Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8
		<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>

Note: Value-Added results are computed only for buildings that include students in grades 4 through 8.

Your school's Value-Added rating represents the progress your school has made with its students since last school year. In contrast, achievement scores represent students' performance at a point in time. A score of "Above" indicates greater than one year of progress has been achieved; "Met" indicates one year of progress has

been achieved; "Below" indicates less than one year of progress has been achieved. Value-Added results are computed only for buildings that include sufficient testing data for students in any grade 4 through 8.

#### Legend

**Ahove Expected Growth** 

**Expected Growth** 

**Below Expected Growth** 

# **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**



	equate Yearly Progress des 3-8 and 10 Reading and	All Stude	its om	Asim Pa	integed Scific Islander	on Hispanic	n Indian Alash	Native Native	cial	on Hispanic	with Disabilities End	AYP Deterr	mination
N	<b>Nathematics</b>	Alls	Ecolle	Asian	Blate	Ame	Hish	Mills	White	Still	Limit	by India	cator
Percent Proficient	Reading	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	NR	NR	Reading Proficiency:	Met
Percent P	Mathematics	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	NR	NR	Mathematics Proficiency:	Met
Percent Tested	Reading	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	NR	NR	Reading Participation:	Met
Percen	Mathematics	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	NR	NR	Mathematics Participation:	Met
G	raduation Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Graduation Rate:	N/A
Att	endance Rate*	Met										Attendance Rate:	Met
AYI	Determination by Subgroup	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	NR	NR	AYP Determination for Your School:	Met

Legend

This legend explains terms used in the above chart that describe whether each student group met this year's AYP goals.

For test indicators, AYP can be met in one of four ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) meeting the improvement requirements of Safe Harbor;
- 4) meeting the AYP targets with projected results.

For the non-test attendance rate indicator, AYP can be met in one of three ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP target with the current year results;
- meeting the AYP target with two-year combined results;
- 3) making improvement over the previous year.
- \* Attendance Rate is evaluated only for the All Students subgroup.

For the non-test graduation rate indicator, AYP can be met in one of two ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP target with the current year results;
- 2) making a 2 percentage point improvement over the previous year.

N/A	Not applicable.
NR	Not Required – This indicator was not evaluated for this subgroup because the subgroup size was smaller than the minimum number needed to achieve a statistically reliable result. 30 students is the minimum size for the proficiency and non-test indicators, while 40 is the minimum size for the participation rate indicators.
Met	This subgroup met AYP for this indicator with its current year, two-year combined, Safe Harbor, or growth measure results.
Not Met	This subgroup did not meet AYP for this indicator.

#### Class of 2011 Four-Year Graduation Rate Information

All Students	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black, non-Hispanic	Econ. Disadvtgd
Hispanic	Limited English Proficient	Multi-Racial	Students with Disabilities	White, non-Hispanic

#### Class of 2010 Five-Year Graduation Rate Information

Hispanic Multi-Racial		
FIGURE	Students with Disabilities	White, non-Hispanic

# State and Federally Required School Information

#### Your School's Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level

	Black, non-Hispanic	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi- Racial	White, non-Hispanic	Non- Disabled Students	Students with Disabilities	Migrant	Non- Econ. Disadvtgd	Econ. Disadvtgd	Limited English Proficient	Female	Male
Percentage of Students Scoring Limited														
Reading						5.9	2.4	22.2		5.1	7.0		2.0	9.6
Writing														
Mathematics						4.0	0.0	22.2		1.7	7.0		2.0	5.8
Science						2.3	0.0	10.0		0.0	3.4		0.0	6.3
Social Studies														
Percentage of Students Scoring Basic														
Reading						15.8	15.5	16.7		6.8	27.9		16.0	15.4
Writing														
Mathematics						20.8	16.7	38.9		8.5	37.2		22.0	19.2
Science						55.8	48.5	80.0		21.4	72.4		63.0	43.8
Social Studies														
Percentage of Students Scoring Proficient														
Reading						42.6	42.9	38.9		40.7	44.2		42.0	42.3
Writing														
Mathematics						43.6	46.4	27.8		44.1	41.9		52.0	34.6
Science						32.6	39.4	10.0		64.3	17.2		29.6	37.5
Social Studies														
Percentage of Students Scoring Accelerated														
Reading						25.7	28.6	11.1		32.2	16.3		28.0	23.1
Writing														
Mathematics						19.8	22.6	5.6		25.4	11.6		16.0	23.1
Science						9.3	12.1	0.0		14.3	6.9		7.4	12.5
Social Studies														
Percentage of Students Scoring Advanced														
Reading						9.9	10.7	11.1		15.3	4.7		12.0	9.6
Writing														
Mathematics						11.9	14.3	5.6		20.3	2.3		8.0	17.3
Science						0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
Social Studies														

## Your School's Students 2011-2012

Average Daily Student Enrollment	Black, non- Hispanic	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi-Racial	White, non- Hispanic	Economically Disadvantaged	Limited English Proficient	Students with Disabilities	Migrant
113						99.1%	41.1%		17.4%	

<sup>-- =</sup> Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

Number of Limited English Proficient Students Excluded from Accountability Calculations --


Under the federal No Child Left Behind Act, states are required to report certain data about schools and teachers. Data presented here are for reporting purposes only and are not used in the computation of the state designation for districts and schools.

### **School Teacher Information**

Your Building's Poverty Status*: Medium-Low Poverty	Your Building	District
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	100.0%	100.0%
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	79.8%	52.2%
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%	1.0%
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by properly certified teachers	100.0%	97.5%
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure	0.0%	0.0%

# **Determining Your School's Designation**

Determining your school's report card designation is a multi-step process. The first step is to determine a preliminary designation, which is based on the following components: 1) the percentage of indicators met, 2) the Performance Index and 3) AYP determination.



0 to 69.9

and

and

Not Met

The preliminary designation results from identifying the higher value between the percentage of indicators met by your school and your school's Performance Index. AYP then is evaluated to determine its effect on the preliminary designation. There are two ways in which AYP can affect the preliminary designation.

and

31%-49.9%

0%-30.9%

- 1. If a school meets AYP in the current year, it can be rated no lower than Continuous Improvement.
- 2. If an Excellent or Effective school does not meet AYP for the same two (or more) subgroups for three consecutive years, its rating will be decreased to Effective or Continuous Improvement (respectively).

Once the preliminary designation is determined, Value-Added, the fourth measure in the accountability system, is evaluated to determine the impact (if any) on the school's final designation.

=

**Academic Emergency** 

- 1. If your school's designation either is increased or decreased due to AYP, Value-Added has no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.
- 2. If your school experiences above expected growth in the current year, your school's final designation will increase by one designation.
- 3. If your school experiences below expected growth for at least two consecutive years, your school's final designation will decrease by one designation.

			_
Preliminary Designation		Value-Added Measure*	Final Designation
Excellent	and	Above expected growth in the current year or	Excellent with Distinction
		Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Effective
Effective	and	Above expected growth in the current year or	Excellent
Litective		Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Continuous Improvement
Continuous Improvement	and	Above expected growth in the current year or	Effective
		Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Academic Watch
Academic Watch	and -	Above expected growth in the current year or	Continuous Improvement
7104401110 1741011		Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Academic Emergency
Academic Emergency	and	Above expected growth in the current year or	Academic Watch
. tousonilo Emorgonoy		Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Academic Emergency

<sup>\*</sup>In all other cases, Value-Added will have no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation will become the final designation.

### The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP),

often referred to as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that enables the comparison of performance in Ohio and other states in various subject areas. Schools and students within each state are selected randomly to be a part of the assessment. Not all students in the state or in a particular school take the assessment. Data are reported at the state level only, and there are no individual student or even school summary results. The assessments are conducted in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography and U.S. history.

To view Ohio's most recent NAEP results, go to:

http://education.ohio.gov

and search for key word "NAEP"